

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/883,449	06/18/2001	Nai-Yin Sung	TS00-415	2688	
28112 7	7590 03/14/2005		EXAMINER		
GEORGE O. SAILE & ASSOCIATES			TRIMMING	TRIMMINGS, JOHN P	
28 DAVIS AVENUE POUGHKEEPSIE, NY 12603			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2133		
			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2003	DATE MAILED: 03/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
All Participants: Status of Application: (1) John P Trimmings. (2) Marc Marcelli. (3)	Evaminer-Initiated Interview Summary	09/883,449	SUNG ET AL.		
All Participants: (1) John P Trimmings. (3) (2) Marc Marcelli. (4) Date of Interview: 24 February 2005 Time: 12:05 PM Type of Interview: Video Conference	Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
(1) John P Trimmings. (3) (2) Marc Marcelli. (4) Date of Interview: 24 February 2005 Time: 12:05 PM Type of Interview: Video Conference		John P Trimmings	2133		
(2) Marc Marcelli. (4) Date of Interview: 24 February 2005 Time: 12:05 PM Type of Interview: Telephonic Government Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: All Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	All Participants:	Status of Application:	_		
Date of Interview: 24 February 2005 Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Uideo Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: All Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview	(1) <u>John P Trimmings</u> .	(3)			
Type of Interview:	(2) <u>Marc Marcelli</u> .	(4)			
Telephonic	Date of Interview: 24 February 2005	Time: <u>12:05 PM</u>			
Rejection(s) discussed: All Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview.	 ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No 	nt's representative)			
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview	Part I.				
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview	•				
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview	Claims discussed:				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview	Prior art documents discussed:				
Part III. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview	Part II.				
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview 		RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:		
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview	Part III.	·			
	directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview				
No Not NO					
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)					

Application No. 09/883,449

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: We discussed the crossing in the mail of my first office action after RCE, and the applicant's preliminary amendment. I informed Mr Marcelli that the case will be re-assesed by me at my earliest convenience, most likely by 3/2/2004. At that time, I will issue an additional first office action based on any new information presented in the preliminary amendment..